

NONSULFURIC ACID PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES
EPA Method 5 B

Facility Name: _____ VELAP ID: _____

Assessor Name: _____ Analyst Name: _____ Inspection Date: _____

Relevant Aspect of Standards**Method
Reference****Y****N****N/A****Comments**

Records Examined: SOP Number/ Revision/ Date _____ Analyst: _____

Sample ID: _____ Date of Sample Preparation: _____ Date of Analysis: _____

If stainless steel probe nozzles were used, were they made of seamless tubing?

Method 5
6.1.1.1Were sampling temperature sensors capable of measuring to within $\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$?Method 5
6.1.1.7

Were temperature sensors installed so that sensors were in direct contact with the sample gas?

Method 5
6.1.1.7

Were the first, third, and fourth impingers modified to that a glass tube extended to about 1.3 cm from flask bottom?

Method 5
6.1.1.8

Did second impingers have standard tips?

Method 5
6.1.1.8

Did first and second impingers contain known quantities of water?

Method 5
6.1.1.8

Were third impingers empty?

Method 5
6.1.1.8

Did fourth impingers contain known quantities of silica gel?

Method 5
6.1.1.8

If particulate matters collected in impingers were measured, were sample trains setup exactly as dictated by the method?

Method 5
6.1.1.8

If metering systems were used in conjunction with pitot tubes, did the systems allow for periodic checks of isokinetic rates?

Method 5
6.1.1.9

Were barometers capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg?

Method 5
6.1.2

Notes/Comments:

Virginia Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services

| NONSULFURIC ACID PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES EPA Method 5 B | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|-----|----------|
| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments |
| If weather station barometric pressure readings were used, were they adjusted for elevation differences between station and sampling point at a rate of 2.5 mm Hg/ 30 m elevation? | Method 5 6.1.2 | | | | |
| Were probe liner and filter heating systems capable of maintaining sample gas temperatures of 160±14°C? | 6.1 | | | | |
| Were silica gel aliquots weighed prior to introduction into to their impingers? | Method 5 8.1.1 | | | | |
| Were filters checked against light for irregularities, flaws, or holes? | Method 5 8.1.2 | | | | |
| Were filters associated with their containers at all times? | Method 5 8.1.2 | | | | |
| Were filters dried in an oven at 160±5°C for 2 to 3 hours and cooled for 2 hours? | 8.1 | | | | |
| Were filters desiccated at 20 ± 5.6°C at ambient temperature for 24 hours? | Method 5 8.1.3 | | | | |
| Were filters weighed to 0.1 mg at intervals of 6 hours to a ≤0.5 mg change? | Method 5 8.1.3 | | | | |
| Alternatively, were filters oven dried at 105°C for 2-3 hours, desiccated for 2 hours, and weighed? (No mention of constant weight) | Method 5 8.1.3 | | | | |
| Were filters exposed to atmosphere for a total of less than 2 minutes during each weighing? | Method 5 8.1.3 | | | | |
| Were nozzle sizes not changed during runs? | Method 5 8.2.2 | | | | |
| Were sampling times per point not less than 2 minutes? | Method 5 8.2.4 | | | | |
| Were all openings in sample trains closed from prior to assembly until just before sampling began? | Method 5 8.3.1 | | | | |
| Was care taken to avoid putting enough silica gel in the fourth impingers to be entrained and carried away? | Method 5 8.3.1 | | | | |
| Were gloves or tweezers used to handle filters after preparation, weighing, and sampling? | Method 5 8.3.2 8.7.6.1 | | | | |
| Notes/Comments: | | | | | |

| NONSULFURIC ACID PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES EPA Method 5 B | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---|---|-----|----------|
| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments |
| Were filters checked for tears after sampling? | Method 5 8.3.2 | | | | |
| Were O-rings used in filter-holders appropriately heat resistant? | Method 5 8.3.3 | | | | |
| If silicone grease was used, was care taken to avoid contaminating samples with it? | Method 5 8.3.4 | | | | |
| Was crushed ice placed around impingers at sampling? | Method 5 8.3.5 | | | | |
| Leak Checks | | | | | |
| Were leak checks conducted on metering system prior to initial use and after each shipment? | Method 5 8.4.1 | | | | |
| Were leaks in meter boxes corrected if found? | Method 5 8.4.1 | | | | |
| Were leak checks conducted prior to component changes on sample trains when components were changed during runs? | Method 5 8.4.3 | | | | |
| Were leaks corrected when above leak checks during sample runs were greater than the lesser of 0.00057 m ³ /min or 4% of the average sample rate? | Method 5 8.4.3 | | | | |
| Were leak checks conducted at the conclusion of each sampling run at vacuums greater than or equal to the maximum value reached during the sample runs? | Method 5 8.4.4 | | | | |
| Were leakage rates recorded, sample volumes corrected, or samples voided when post-run leak checks were greater than 0.00057 m ³ /min or 4% of the average sample rate? | Method 5 8.4.4 | | | | |
| Procedure | | | | | |
| Were dry gas meter readings recorded initially, after each sample time increment, when changes in flow rates were made, before and after leak checks, and at the conclusion of sampling? | Method 5 8.5.1 | | | | |
| Were probe outlets and filters maintained at a temperature of 160±14°C? | 8.2 | | | | |
| Were flows adjusted to isokinetic conditions quickly after sampling began? | Method 5 8.5.2 | | | | |
| Notes/Comments: | | | | | |

| NONSULFURIC ACID PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES EPA Method 5 B | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---|---|-----|----------|
| Relevant Aspect of Standards | Method Reference | Y | N | N/A | Comments |
| Was care taken not to bump probe nozzles into stack walls when sampling to avoid extracting deposited materials? | Method 5 8.5.5 | | | | |
| Were steps taken periodically during sample runs to keep temperature around filter holders at proper temperatures during sampling runs? | Method 5 8.5.6 | | | | |
| Were steps taken to maintain temperatures of less than 20°C at condenser/silica gel outlets during sampling runs? | Method 5 8.5.6 | | | | |
| Analytical Procedure | | | | | |
| Were PM samples desiccated to constant weight differences of no more than 0.5 mg or 1% with no less than 6 hours of desiccation between weighings? | Method 5 11.2.1 | | | | |
| Alternatively, were PM samples oven dried at 104°C for 2 to 3 hours and cooled? | Method 5 11.2.1 | | | | |
| Were liquid samples measured to ±1 mL volumetrically or ±0.5 g gravimetrically, desiccated for 24 hours, and weighed to a constant weight? | Method 5 11.2.1 | | | | |
| Were silica gel portions weighed to the nearest 0.5 g? | Method 5 11.2.3 | | | | |
| Were acetone blanks measured either gravimetrically or volumetrically and desiccated to a constant weight? | Method 5 11.2.4 | | | | |
| Notes/Comments: | | | | | |